1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2 3 4 5 6 7	In the Matter of CASE CLOSURES UNDER: ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM OF THE MATTER OF
8	SENSITIV
9	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
10	•
11	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
12	The cases listed below have been evaluated under the Enforcement Priority System
13	("EPS") and identified as either low priority, stale, subject to the media exemption, or
14	cases previously reviewed by the ADR Office. This report recommends that the Commission
15	no longer pursue the cases cited in section II for the reasons discussed below.
16	II. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSURE
17 18	A. Cases Not Warranting Further Action Relative to Other Cases Pending Before the Commission
19 20	EPS was created to identify pending cases that, due to the length of their pendency in
21	inactive status or the lower priority of the issues raised in the matters relative to others
22	presently pending before the Commission, do not warrant further expenditures of resources.
23	Central Enforcement Docket ("CED") evaluates each incoming matter using Commission-
24	approved criteria that result in a numerical rating for each case.

25

1

2

ነሳ የሀ 12 13

16

14

15

17

18

19

We have identified six cases that do not warrant further action relative to other pending matters. This Office recommends that all six cases be closed. Attachment 1 to this report contains a factual summary of each case recommended for closure, the case EPS rating, and the factors leading to the assignment of a low priority.

B. Stale Cases

Effective enforcement relies upon the timely pursuit of complaints and referrals to ensure compliance with the law. Investigations concerning activity more remote in time usually require a greater commitment of resources primarily because the evidence of such activity becomes more difficult to develop as it ages. Focusing investigative efforts on more recent and more significant activity also has a more positive effect on the electoral process and the regulated community. EPS provides us with the means to identify those cases that, though earning a higher numerical rating, remain unassigned for a significant period due to a lack of staff resources for an effective investigation. The utility of commencing an investigation declines as these types of cases age, until they reach a point when activation of such cases would not be an efficient use of the Commission's resources.

We have identified one case that has remained on the Central Enforcement Docket for a sufficient period of time to render it stale. This Office recommends that it be closed.²

The cases recommended for closure are: P-MUR 409 (Boone National Bank); MUR 5273 (Rocky Flash for U.S. Congress); MUR 5282 (Meehan for Congress); MUR 5302 (Friends of Irvin); and MUR 5313 (MI Democratic State Cntrl Cmte. The ADR Office previously reviewed MURs 5273, 5282, 5302, and 5313 for potential inclusion in the ADR program, but decided to return them to this Office.

² The case recommended for closure is MUR 5252 (Taxpayers for Better Government).

1	Attachment 2 to	this report contains	a summary and th	e EPS rating for	r the stale case
---	-----------------	----------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

2 recommended for closure.

C	Cases	Return	ed to l	Enforce	ement
L .					LUICHI

cases for potential inclusion in the The ADR Office previously reviewed 4

ADR program, but decided to return them to this Office prior to the initiation of the new

ADR procedures for recommended case closures.³ Attachment 3 to this report contains a

summary and the EPS rating

3

5

13

14

15

18

20

RECOMMENDATIONS III.

OGC recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and close 16

the cases listed below effective two weeks from the date the Commission votes on the 17

recommendations. Closing these cases as of this date will allow CED and the Legal Review

19 Team the necessary time to prepare closing letters and case files for the public record.

³ The two cases recommended for closure are MUR 5286 (Porter for Congress)

Take no action, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve the appropriate letters in:

10. MUR 5313				
7.	8.	MUR 5302	9.	
4. MUR 5273	5.	MUR 5282	6.	MUR 5286
1. P-MUR 409	. 2.	MUR 5252	3.	

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

 $\frac{2/24/3}{\text{Date}}$

BY:

Rhonda J. Vosdingh

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney, CED

1 2 3 **4 5 MUR 5273** 6 7 Complainant: Susan A. Holtsclaw 8 9 Respondents: Rocky Flash for US Congress 10 Rocky A. Flash 11 12 Allegations: Susan A. Holtsclaw alleged that Rocky A. Flash falsely listed her as the 13 treasurer of his committee, Rocky Flash for US Congress, on reports filed with the 14 Commission. She also stated that she had never been the treasurer for his committee and requested that her name be removed from any and all records at the Commission. 15 16 17 Responses: Rocky Flash and Rocky Flash for US Congress responded by stating that Ms. Holtsclaw did sign the Statement of Organization and attended a seminar conducted 18 19 by the Federal Election Commission. 20 21 This case was temporarily transferred to the ADR Office on December 26, 2002, 22 and returned on December 27, 2002, as inappropriate for ADR. 23 24 This matter is less significant relative to other matters pending before the 25 Commission. 26 27 28